THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

D8 928 P:13-cr-00282-VM Document 15 Filed 08/28/13 Page 3 of 19 1 THE CLERK: Do you understand that if you do not waive 2 indictment, if the government wants to prosecute you, they will 3 have to present this case to a grand jury, which may or may not 4 indict you? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE CLERK: Do you understand that by signing this 6 7 waiver of indictment, you have given up your right to have this 8 case presented to a grand jury? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE CLERK: Do you understand what a grand jury is? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE CLERK: Have you seen a copy of this information? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE CLERK: Do you waive its public reading? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE CLERK: Mr. Edgar Paltzer, how do you plead as to 17 S1 13 Cr. 282, Count One? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Not quilty.

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Cowley, I understand that the case has been referred by Judge Marrero so that Mr. Paltzer could withdraw his previously entered plea of not quilty and proceed before a magistrate judge for the purpose of entering a plea to Count One of the information.

MR. COWLEY: That is correct, your Honor.

count information, S1 13 Cr. 282. This information charges you

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you reviewed the information with

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that those rights

include the right to be represented by counsel and to have

counsel appointed for you if you cannot afford an attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you would have

THE COURT: Do you understand that you would have a right to an attorney at every stage of the criminal proceedings?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that at trial you cannot be forced to testify against yourself?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that at trial you would have the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses called by the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that at trial you would have the right to testify yourself and to call witnesses on your behalf and to compel their attendance by subpoena if necessary?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your guilty plea is accepted, there will be no trial of any kind, and the only remaining steps in your case will be a pre-sentence report and sentencing by Judge Marrero?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you discussed with your attorney the role that the sentencing guidelines play at sentencing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2.3

THE COURT: Do you understand that there will be a calculation in your case under the guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that that calculation will take into account a number of factors, including the actual conduct in which you engaged, any victims of the offense, the role that you played in the offense, whether or not you have accepted responsibility for your acts, whether you have any criminal history, and whether you have engaged in any obstruction of justice?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have those factors been explained to you by your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Between now and the date of sentencing, the probation department will conduct an investigation and will prepare a pre-sentence report. Your attorney, the government, and Judge Marrero will receive copies. Both your attorney and the government will have the opportunity to object if they believe anything in the report is inaccurate. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Do you understand that until the 2 pre-sentence report is prepared, neither your attorney nor the 3 government nor Judge Marrero will be able to determine 4 precisely what range of penalty will be calculated in your case 5 under the guidelines? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that whatever the range 8 under the quidelines, your sentence cannot exceed the maximums 9 that I advised you earlier? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that whatever the range under the guidelines, Judge Marrero will still retain 12 13 discretion as to the active penalty to be imposed in your case? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Do you understand that in addition to the 16 guidelines, Judge Marrero will also consider the factors that 17 are set forth in 18 United States Code section 3553(a)? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Have those factors been explained to you 20 by your attorney? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that under certain circumstances both you and the government may have a right to appeal the sentence imposed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

22

2.3

24

THE COURT: Do you understand that if the government

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

24

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

information has three elements. First, that the conspiracy

charged in the information existed, that is, that there was an

24

agreement or understanding between certain U.S. taxpayers and others to commit federal crimes, in this case to defraud the IRS to evade taxes due and owing and to file false tax returns.

The second element is that the defendant, Mr. Paltzer, knowingly became a member of that conspiracy.

The third element, your Honor, is that a member of that conspiracy committed an overt act in furtherance of that conspiracy.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.3

Mr. Paltzer, did you hear the elements of the offense with which you have been charged?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if the government were to proceed to trial against you, it would have the burden of proving each and every element of this offense beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Paltzer, did you commit the offense with which you have been charged?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Tell me what you did.

THE DEFENDANT: From 2000 through 2012 I acted as a financial intermediary and I provided financial intermediary services. These services included the formation and the administration of foreign and domestic entities, including

corporations and foundations and providing services on private banking matters. I provided these services to individuals from numerous countries, including to persons who were citizens and residents of the United States. I did so in exchange for fees.

From 2000 through 2012, working with others in the Swiss financial industry, I assisted U.S. taxpayers in evading the U.S. tax obligations of these U.S. taxpayers and in filing false tax returns with the IRS. In furtherance of my agreement with these U.S. taxpayers, but acting in what I believed to be in accordance with and not in violation of Swiss law, I formed and administered various corporations and foundations.

I also opened accounts at banks in Switzerland in the name of the entities which I had formed for U.S. taxpayers, without completing W-9 tax disclosure forms which would have disclosed the U.S. taxpayer's interest in the bank accounts. I was aware that by forming these entities, that forms W-9 would not be prepared and that the IRS would not be made aware of the income earned in these bank accounts.

These bank accounts allowed for the evasion of U.S. taxes and ultimately resulted in the filing of false U.S. tax returns. At all relevant times I was aware that certain U.S. taxpayers were maintaining through the entities which I set up non-W-9 accounts at banks in Switzerland in order to evade their U.S. tax obligations in violation of U.S. law.

I was aware that U.S. taxpayers had a legal duty to

D8 Case 12.13-cr-00282-VM Document 15 Filed 08/28/13 Page 16 of 19

report to the IRS and pay taxes on the basis of their worldwide income, including income earned in accounts that these U.S. taxpayers opened in Switzerland. Despite being aware of this legal duty, I intentionally formed foundations and corporations which permitted these U.S. taxpayers to hide from the IRS these accounts and the income earned in these accounts, to file false U.S. income tax returns that did not report these non-W-9 accounts and the income earned in the accounts, and by doing so I assisted these taxpayers in violating their legal duties. I was aware that this conduct was wrong.

One or more of the U.S. taxpayers who conspired with me lived or worked in the Southern District of New York when we engaged in these activities. I met with at least one such taxpayer in Manhattan, where we discussed his entity holding the undeclared Swiss bank account.

I also communicated by email in September 2008 with another U.S. taxpayer and referred at her request to checks delivered to the U.S. taxpayer from her undisclosed Swiss bank account as postcards in order to help her hide the true nature of the communication.

I knew that the U.S. taxpayers who were making use of my services were doing so to evade U.S. taxes and that they were filing false tax returns. Knowing my conduct was in violation of U.S. law, I nevertheless agreed with the U.S. taxpayers to help them commit these crimes.

THE COURT: I would say that is sufficient.

MR. COWLEY: It is, your Honor.

2.3

To summarize a few additional facts for the record,

Mr. Paltzer was formerly a partner at a law firm in

Switzerland. As part of what he did professionally, he

provided financial intermediary services, including to U.S.

taxpayers. In acting in that capacity as a financial

intermediary, Mr. Paltzer helped dozens of U.S. taxpayers

maintain undeclared accounts in Switzerland, and these accounts

in aggregate contained millions and millions of dollars in

undeclared assets.

When certain banks in Switzerland made the decision to no longer maintain accounts for U.S. taxpayers with undeclared accounts, Paltzer worked with U.S. taxpayers and others in the Swiss financial industry to move these accounts to other Swiss banks that were still willing to maintain these accounts for U.S. taxpayers.

THE COURT: One final thing with regard to this, Mr. Paltzer, just so you can affirm on the record, since you are reading from a prepared text, you understood everything that you read, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Does either counsel know of any reason why I should not recommend this plea?

MR. COWLEY: Nothing from the government, your Honor.

2.3

1 MR. OSTRANDER: Nothing from the defense, your Honor.

THE COURT: Based on the defendant's allocution, I find that he understands the nature of the charges and the consequences of his guilty plea. I also find that the plea is voluntary and that there is a factual basis for the plea. I therefore recommend that the plea be accepted and direct that a pre-sentence report be prepared.

Sentencing will take place before Judge Marrero. What is the story with that?

MR. COWLEY: Your Honor, we have a control date for that of February 21st at 10 a.m.

THE COURT: Did you say February?

MR. OSTRANDER: February 21st at 10 a.m., yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: February of?

MR. COWLEY: 2014. Your Honor, also, I don't think it is necessary at this time to have a pre-sentence report ordered prepared.

THE COURT: Considering the date of the sentence, I think we might be able to delay that. So even though I have said that, obviously there is no hurry.

MR. COWLEY: A few other items, your Honor. One request is that the plea agreement here today be marked as

Court Exhibit 1. I would also note for the record that in the plea agreement it includes that as part of the restitution that

Mr. Paltzer will pay, he is disgorge any fees that were earned by committing the acts alleged in the criminal information.

Finally, your Honor, as I mentioned in Mr. Paltzer's presentment, Mr. Paltzer has access to, in fact controls access, to certain vaults located in Zurich, Switzerland, that contain assets of U.S. taxpayers. We discussed the entrance of an order prohibiting Mr. Paltzer and his wife and any agents of Mr. Paltzer from accessing those vaults or from providing anyone else access to those vaults. We, pursuant to the Court's order, will be putting in a redacted version of that order on the docket shortly after this hearing.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Is there anything else?

MR. OSTRANDER: Not from the defense, your Honor.

MR. COWLEY: Nothing from the government, your Honor.

THE COURT: Then we are adjourned.

(Adjourned)